



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JLP:ddj
Docket No: 4691-00
27 February 2001

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 February 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO memorandum 5420 N130D1/01U0045 of 12 February 2001, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
2000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO
5420
N130D1/01U0045
12 Feb 2001

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE OF PETTY OFFICER
██

Encl: (1) BCNR case file #04691-00 with microfiche service record

1. The following provides comment and recommendation on Petty Officer ██████████ petition.

2. N130 recommends deny Petty Officer ██████████ petition for an Enlistment Bonus (EB).

3. Petty Officer ██████████ entered the Navy on 8 September 1997 with the guarantees of Nuclear Field (NF) School Guarantee and Enlistment Bonus (EB) of \$3,250. In his petition, Petty Officer ██████████ requests favorable action that will allow payment of his EB.

4. EB is paid upon successful completion of the initial training pipeline and designation in skill. Members who fail to complete basic qualifications for the EB, including failure to graduate from required school(s), and who do not continue in the skill for which enlisted, have the EB option nullified. For members accepted into an officer procurement program and who are notified of their acceptance before completion of the training pipeline, the EB contract is cancelled and the member does not receive the EB. Petty Officer ██████████ began this initial training pipeline on 8 September 1997 and complete that training on 7 April 2000, at which point his entitlement to EB was suspended in accordance with DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14, Vol. 7A. This was because Petty Officer ██████████ was accepted to the United States Naval Academy Preparatory School (USNAPS), which is an officer procurement program, while still in training at the Nuclear Power Training Unit in Charleston, South Carolina. He was notified of his acceptance through a letter from USNAPS dated 24 January 2000. Petty Officer ██████████ officer procurement program class convening date was 13 July 2000. If Petty Officer ██████████ is disenrolled from USNAPS and returns to work in the EB skill he will receive his EB, minus a pro-rated portion equivalent to the time he spent in



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
2000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

Subj: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE OF PETTY
[REDACTED], USN, [REDACTED]

The officer procurement program. Therefore, no further action is required.

5. BCNR case file with microfiche service record is returned herewith as enclosure (1).

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Assistant, Enlisted Bonus
Programs Branch